

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,728	09/19/2003	Jennifer Amys	1640.001US1	6050	
21186 SCHWEGMA	7590 05/23/201 N. LUNDBERG & WC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938			ADAMS, C	ADAMS, CHARLES D	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2164			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/23/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/666,728	AMYS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHARLES D. ADAMS	2164	

	CHARLES D. ADAMS	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhause been filled is the date for purposes of the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous continuous canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		npilant Amendment (-1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\bigcirc \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) } \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ad.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments to the claims, defining the electronic data as including payment related data, narrows the scope of the claims and would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that "the amendment to claim 1 merely clarifies what was previously present in the claim. Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments do not modify the scope of the claim and therefore do not require additional searching." In response to this argument, it is noted that "payment related data" is narrower in scope than "e-commerce activity." Furthermore, it is noted that the cited passage of Gibson et al. (11:19-12:23) indicates that "payment related data" is included with the data relating to the transaction.

Applicant also argues that "Gibson is intrinsically involved in the generation of the electronic data as the cited transaction processing system of Gibson is involved in processing payments for merchants." It is noted that Gibson does not "generatectoric data," but rather simply outputs information submitted by one external system about a transaction to a second external system. It is noted that Applicant does not claim what is within the scope of "only for purpose of maintaining a central archive repository of e-commerce activity documentation." Applicant's specification seems to indicate that activities "only for purpose of maintaining a central archive repository or include general activities involved in data retention, such as retrieving data, delivering data, formatting data, and user interaction with the system containing the repository. Applicant's specification allows for the system containing the repository or set and receive information submitted by external systems (see Applicant's specification page 8, table 2, for submission of entries. See 10:2-21, for a example of allowing users to search the repository). It is noted that this is all that the system of Gibson is doing - retrieving electronic data (payment confirmation) from an external system, and allowing another user to access the payment confirmation data.